

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/822,885 | MERTON, CHRISTOPHER A. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Stevan A. Resan | 1773 | |

All Participants:

(1) Stevan A. Resan.

(2) Darla Fonseca.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 August 2005
Time: 10:34 AM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-12

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that claim 12 was allowable and that claims 1 and 3 should be canceled and the dependency of claims 2, 4-11 changed to claim 12. The examiner also suggested changes to claims 2 and 4 to reflect necessary changes due to dependence on claim 12. These changes will all be made by examiner's amendment..